

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	Ю.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/019,992		05/06/2002	Marc Saelen	10541-930	9884	
29074	7590	03/25/2005		EXAMINER		
VISTEO	N		PETERSON, KENNETH E			
C/O BRIT	VKS HOF	ER GILSON & LI	ONE			
PO BOX	10395		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CHICAG	O, IL 60	0610	3724			
				DATE MAILED: 03/25/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

S	$\forall$
حن	•

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/019,992	SAELEN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Kenneth E Peterson	3724					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONED	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Fe	bruary 2005.						
	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-18</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-18</u> is/are rejected.	_						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers		•					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	•						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	pted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	·						
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Pa 6) ☐ Other:						

1. Claims 8 and 14 are objected to because on their respective fourth lines, the term "form" should be –from--. Appropriate correction is required.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 7,8,13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Scott '089, who shows in figures 1 and 5 a cutting device with all of the recited limitations including a first cutter (e.g. the oval punch) having a straight section and a curved section and 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3rd cutters (e.g. the square and rectangular punches) having straight edges. While there is no diclosure of cutting a shape like that shown in Applicant's drawings, the device is *capable* of cutting a profile having an apex and is *capable* of employing the cutters in a sequentially overlapping fashion.
- 4. Claims 7-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated the Examiners home chisel set which surprisingly has all of the recited limitations.

As seen in the photocopy sent herewith, Examiner's chisel set has one chisel that is curved in the middle and has straight ends. Since these chisels are hand-held, they are *capable* of being employed to form and apex and are *capable* of being employed in a sequentially overlapping fashion.

Examiner's home workbench is the "support" where these chisels are usually used, and the workbench has straight edges and a curved corner that are "substantially identical" to the cutting edge of the curved chisel.

The three chisels are *capable* of being withdrawn to form a single contiguous cutting edge.

This unusual rejection highlights the fact that Applicant's claims have no structural connectivity between the parts. It should be fairly easy to amend the claims to distinguish over this rejection, assuming proper support can be found in the original disclosure.

- 5. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 10/019,992

Art Unit: 3724

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ken Peterson at 571-272-4512, on Monday-Thursday, 7AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap, can be reached at 571-272-4514. In lieu of mailing, it is encouraged that papers be faxed to 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a> or call the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

kp March 21, 2005

> KENNETH E. PETERSON PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 4